

English translation of the study and examination regulations for the Master's Programme in Entrepreneurship and Innovation Management, published in the Amtlichen Bekanntmachungen Nr. 4/2026.

In the case of contradictions between the German and the English version, the German version applies.

**Study & Examination Regulations for the
Master's Programme in
Entrepreneurship and Innovation Management
in the Department of Economics
at Magdeburg-Stendal University of Applied Sciences dated 29/01/2026**

On the basis of §§ 13, para. 1, 67a, para. 2, no. 3a and 77, para. 2, no. 1 of the Higher Education Act of Saxony-Anhalt (HSG LSA) in the version announced on 01 July 2021 (German Länder Law Gazette LSA 2021 pp. 368, 369), Magdeburg-Stendal University of Applied Sciences has enacted the following Study and Examination Regulations:

Contents

I. Programme-Specific Provisions	7
§ 1 Scope	7
§ 2 Programme objective	7
§ 3 Academic degree	8
§ 4 Admission to the programme	8
§ 5 Duration and commencement of the programme	10
§ 6 General information on modularisation	10
§ 7 Organisation of the study programme	11
§ 8 Types and forms of course	12
§ 9 Academic advice	13
§ 10 Individual study plans	13
§ 11 Individual part-time studies.....	13
II. Examination-Specific Provisions	13
§ 12 Board of Examiners	13
§ 13 Examiners and assessors	14
§ 14 Recognition of qualifications, crediting of knowledge and skills	15
§ 15 Internship, vocational activity, practical study semester in Germany or abroad.....	16
§ 16 Study semester abroad	16
§ 17 Pre-examination assessments	16
§ 18 Types and forms of assessment	17
§ 19 Equality of opportunity, accommodations for disadvantage, statutory protection periods, possible compensations	20
§ 20 Public access to oral examinations	21
§ 21 Registration for examinations during the programme	21
§ 22 Assessment of examinations and grading policy	22
§ 23 Repetition of examinations	25
§ 24 Free attempt	26
§ 25 Supplementary examinations	26
III. Master's Degree	26
§ 26 Specification of the Master's thesis topic	26
§ 27 Registration and approval to write the Master's thesis, <i>deadlines</i>	27
§ 28 Submission and appraisal of the Master's thesis	28
§ 29 Master's thesis defence.....	28
§ 30 Repetition of the Master's thesis and defence.....	29
§ 31 Cumulative Master's examination result.....	29

§ 32 Academic transcripts and certificates	30
§ 33 Degree certificate	30
IV. Closing provisions	30
§ 34 Access to examination files, archiving of examinations	30
§ 35 Non-attendance, withdrawal, cheating, breach of regulations.....	31
§ 36 Invalidity of examinations	31
§ 37 Decisions, appeal procedure	32
§ 38 University-wide announcements by the board of examiners	32
§ 39 Transitional regulations	32
§ 40 Entry into force	32
Standard Curriculum and Examination Schedule.....	34

I. Programme-Specific Provisions

§ 1 Scope

- (1) These Study and Examination Regulations set out the purpose, content and structure of the study programme and the Master's examination for the Master's in *Entrepreneurship and Innovation Management* at Magdeburg-Stendal University of Applied Sciences. This full-time study programme is delivered as part of a joint project with the Department of Industrial Engineering - SATS (School of Applied Technical Sciences) and the *Engineering Innovation and Entrepreneurship* programme at the German-Jordanian University (GJU) as an on-campus course with some online teaching and learning sections. The study venue is Magdeburg; off-campus study portions in the form of field trips are envisaged.
Upon application to the registration office, an individual part-time study plan may be approved. Individual part-time study programmes are governed by § 11.
- (2) This Master's programme is a consecutive programme. It is categorised as an “*application oriented*” programme.
- (3) The language of instruction is generally *English*. Some modules may in addition be offered in *German*.

§ 2 Programme objective

- (1) The aim of the study programme is to acquire thorough specialised and general expertise and to develop the ability to work independently in accordance with scientific methods. This should enable the students to independently familiarise themselves with the complex tasks relating to application, research, development and teaching - be it as an independent entrepreneur or as an employed innovation manager in the corporate sector - and to successfully cope with and responsibly shape the wide-ranging challenges that arise in professional life.
- (2) Students in this programme acquire knowledge in the fields of corporate development and innovation management.
In addition, they gain specialist knowledge in the fields of international organisational and business development as well as in the area of innovation management, including methodological knowledge. These include, among other things, the conceptualisation, realisation and introduction of innovation processes, in order to implement service and product development processes, as well as skills to develop organisational knowledge management measures.
- (3) Graduates have entrepreneurial skills in the fields of business model development and assessment as well as the financing and expansion of start-ups. They acquire specialist and methodological skills in the design of planning processes in technology-based start-ups, in the conceptualisation and development of marketing strategies and measures as well as in risk management taking into account regional and national economic, social and technological trends, in order to be able to operate successfully in this field.
- (4) As part of the intercultural, interdisciplinary and practice-oriented approach of the study programme, students acquire leadership, communication and cooperation skills and, as a result, are in a position to work in intercultural and multi-professional teams.
- (5) In order to encourage the character development of the students with regard to their future entrepreneurial employment, innovative didactic teaching and learning formats are used. For example, digital, intercultural project work, mentoring and coaching as well as peer group learning and work-based learning involving partners from professional practice.

- (6) After completing the study programme, graduates will be able to work as independent entrepreneurs in engineering or IT-oriented disciplines. As employed managerial staff or employees, they will work as innovation managers in innovation departments, in business development within a company or start-up or as consultants in strategic consultancy in the fields of production and product development, logistics, purchasing, and sales and marketing

§ 3 Academic degree

After successful completion of the required module examinations including the Master's thesis *and defence*, Magdeburg-Stendal University of Applied Sciences will award the academic degree of

“Master of Science”,
abbreviated: “M. Sc.”

§ 4 Admission to the programme

- (1) The requirement for admission to a Master's degree programme at a university is evidence of a Bachelor's degree or a 'Diplom' degree from a university, or a comparable qualification from a state or state-approved vocational academy, a 'Magister' degree or a programme of studies completed by a state or ecclesiastical examination.
- (2) Additional admission requirements for this consecutive Master's degree programme are, as mentioned in paragraph 1, a first degree conferring a professional qualification, with a classification of "good" or "very good" (overall grade = < 1.7).
- (3) In the case of a Bachelor's degree pursuant to paragraph 2, as a general rule the holder must have acquired 210 credits.
- (4) Proof of having obtained 180 credits may suffice in individual cases if the board of examiners is able to establish that there are no significant differences in content, scope and requirements between the qualification obtained and the knowledge represented by the admission requirements for the consecutive Master's study programme. If there are significant differences, the board of examiners must make stipulations regarding the acquisition of the missing skills and competences up to a total of 30 credits. Candidates must provide evidence of having met these stipulations before registering to write their Master's thesis.
- (5) Equivalent skills and competences that were acquired either within or outside of higher education and for which evidence has been provided may be accepted by the board of examiners for admission to the study programme upon written application by the candidate. These include:
- Elective modules from an earlier degree course
 - Professional experience / occupational activities following the acquisition of the first academic degree.
- (6) Evidence of adequate knowledge of the English language at higher education entrance qualification level. This corresponds to level B2 of the Common European Framework of Reference for Languages (CEFR).
- (7) The evidence of language skills may be provided by the German university entrance qualification, provided that this is able to show sufficient knowledge of the English language. For all other applicants, the language skills must be evidenced by an internationally recognised language certificate or equivalent proof. These include:

- TOEFL (Test of English as a Foreign Language), iBT (87 - 107), PBT (567 - 626), CBT (227– 262)
 - IELTS (International English Language Testing System), points total 5.5; 6.0; 6.5
 - telc B2 – certificates
 - UNlcert II
 - Cambridge First Certificate in English (FCE) or
 - equivalent certificates.
- (8) In the case of university entrance qualifications from Australia, Great Britain, Ireland, Canada, New Zealand or the United States or a degree programme completed there, no additional evidence of English language skills is required.
- (9) Applicants may be exempt from providing evidence of their English language skills if they were taught in a bilingual school (to at least the end of year 10), they are native speakers, or can show evidence of a period of at least half a year spent at an English-speaking school, university or other institution, or can provide evidence that their first academic degree was obtained on an English-language study programme.
- (10) All academic transcripts and certificates must be in English and/or German or a corresponding translation by a sworn translator must be provided.
- (11) The aptitude test, which must be passed as a condition of admission to the Master's study programme, is used to provide evidence of general skills. The following are tested and assessed:
- entrepreneurial learning orientation
 - team and communication skills
 - independence: personal and organisational skills, time management
 - creativity and the ability to develop ideas, methodological skills
- The applicant must demonstrate in the aptitude test that he or she has general skills (e.g. in a project outline or idea concept, letter of motivation).
- (12) The aptitude test consists of a *selection interview*. The duration of the *selection interview* should not exceed 30 minutes and it may also take place online. Before the start of the examination, the identity of participants must be verified. The assessment will be "ungraded".
- (13) The Departmental Council of the Department of Economics will set up a board of examiners to carry out the aptitude test, which may be supplemented by members of the co-operating faculty of the partner university. It is responsible for the organisation and implementation of the aptitude test and for setting the test assignments. The board of examiners should have at least 2 members. The members of the board of examiners elect the chair, who must be a professor in the department. The board of examiners may call upon other members of the teaching staff in an advisory capacity. The board of examiners consults and makes decisions in private meetings.
- (14) The aptitude test is held once annually in the winter semester. Admission to the aptitude test is conditional upon having submitted a proper application in due time, which must have been received by the university together with all the required documents. Later applications may only be taken into consideration after those received by the deadline and subject to the availability of study places. Invitations to participate will be issued in writing at least 2 weeks before the date of the test by the board of examiners and will contain information about the authorised aids. The board of examiners decides upon the use of aids.
- (15) The aptitude test is not held in public. A written record must be made of the aptitude test with at least the following information:
- applicant details,

- date of aptitude test,
 - members of the board of examiners,
 - duration and content of the aptitude test,
 - assessment and result,
 - signature of the members of the board of examiners.
- (16) Aptitude for the degree programme is demonstrated if the candidate achieves at least 60% of the maximum points score. § 34 applies accordingly.
- (17) The board of examiners will issue an overview with the results of the aptitude test and transmit this to the enrolment office, which will notify the candidate in writing of the result of the test. Candidates who have passed the aptitude test will be admitted to the programme. If the aptitude test is failed, the notification will include instructions on how to appeal the decision and information on the possibility of repeating the test for a future admission date.
- (18) If the student does not commence the study programme in the semester for which they originally applied, the result of a passed aptitude test is also valid for the next admission date. A new application for admission must be submitted to the university in proper form and in a timely manner.
- (19) If the aptitude test is assessed as *“insufficient”/“not successfully completed”*, the applicant may not be admitted to the study programme. The aptitude test may be repeated once. The test may be repeated no sooner than the test date for the next application period. To repeat the aptitude test, a new, complete application for admission to the study programme must be submitted in proper form and in a timely manner. In the event that a test is repeated, all elements of the test must be repeated. The aptitude test will be irrevocably failed if it has been assessed as *“not sufficient”/“not successfully completed”* and no further repetitions are permitted.
- (20) The examiners may exclude an applicant from the aptitude test if it becomes apparent that he or she has influenced or attempted to influence the result of the test by cheating or making use of unauthorised means of assistance. If this is the case, the examination will be assessed as *“insufficient” / “not successfully completed”*. If any kind of deception comes to light after the aptitude test has been taken, the result of the test must be set aside within six weeks of it becoming known. If an applicant withdraws before the start of the aptitude test or if he or she misses the test date after being invited to take part, he or she may register to take the test on the next available date. In this case the registration is treated as a first-time application. §34, 35, 36 and §37 apply accordingly.

§ 5 Duration and commencement of the programme

- (1) The course of studies is organised as a *full-time programme* so that, including the Master's thesis *and defence*, it can be successfully completed within the standard study duration of 3 semesters.
- (2) In order to ensure equality of opportunity and to compensate for any disadvantages, individual agreements may be reached concerning the standard study duration. It is also possible to apply for an individual part-time study arrangement. Further regulations regarding this can be found in §§ 10, 11 and 19.
- (3) The course is generally scheduled to begin in the winter semester.

§ 6 General information on modularisation

- (1) The study programme is divided into modules. The modules consist of thematically self-contained, synchronised study units. Modules are generally concluded with an

examination, the result of which contributes to the final degree classification. Examinations and assessments must be undertaken alongside the respective modules, either during or at the end.

In accordance with the European Credit Transfer System (ECTS), a certain number of credits will be awarded at the end of each successfully completed module. A module usually comprises at least five credits.

The awarding of credits does not necessarily require an examination, but rather the successful completion of the relevant module (attendance certificate). More specific details are provided by § 18 para. 22.

- (2) The number of credits is governed by the average workload (total effort) required of the students for the respective module. In accordance with the European Credit Transfer System (ECTS), one credit corresponds to a student workload, either in class or in independent study, of 25 to a maximum of 30 hours; the total credits required for this study programme are set out in § 7 para. 1. One credit includes participation in classes, preparation and follow-up, independent study, preparing for examinations and completing assessments and examinations. Only whole credits can be awarded. The content of a module must be fixed in such a way that as a rule it can be taught within a single semester or a year. If studying full time, 30 credits must be obtained per semester. This corresponds to a workload of 750 to 900 hours per semester.
- (3) The modules are categorised as either compulsory, compulsory elective or voluntary elective modules.
- (4) The designation compulsory module applies to all modules which are necessary for the successful completion of the programme in accordance with the examination and study regulations.
- (5) The designation 'compulsory elective module' applies to all modules, which the students are able to select from a certain number of modules as prescribed by the Standard Curriculum and Examination Schedule. Within the chosen course of studies, these modules facilitate the pursuit of individual inclinations and interests while simultaneously allowing for the development of field-related knowledge in the students' subsequent field of employment.

Registration for a compulsory elective module must take place no later than four weeks after the beginning of the respective semester, *in the study programme office*. For a module to be offered, a minimum participation of 5 students is required. *Upon written application by the student to the board of examiners, in agreement with the programme leader / subject advisor, modules from other programmes may be approved as compulsory electives.*

- (6) All modules that the students complete at their own discretion in addition to the compulsory and compulsory elective modules that form part of the range of modules offered by Magdeburg-Stendal University of Applied Sciences are designated voluntary elective modules. Students are free to take examinations in these voluntary elective modules. More specific details are provided in § 25.

§ 7 Organisation of the study programme

- (1) The total duration of the required courses necessary for the successful completion of the study programme is 45 weekly teaching units per semester.
A total of 90 credits must be obtained to successfully complete the programme, with 1 credit equating to a workload of 30 hours. To achieve this, it is necessary to pass all of the compulsory and compulsory elective modules. The contents of the modules offered in the study programme are set out in detail in the module handbook. The structure of the module handbook corresponds to the criteria in the catalogue of Quality Criteria for

Teaching and Learning at Magdeburg-Stendal University of Applied Sciences. The modules, the recommended scheduling across the semesters, the number and types of courses, the examinations that must be taken and the allocation of credits to the individual modules can be found in the Standard Curriculum and Examination Schedule contained in the appendix. Study phases or modules are delivered jointly by the GJU and Magdeburg-Stendal University of Applied Sciences or one university has responsibility for them. The module coordinators are named in the module handbook.

- (2) As long as evidence has been provided that the requirements for admission to the given examination have been satisfactorily met, the module exams may be taken before the end of the semester indicated in the examination schedule.
- (3) The Master's exam comprises module examinations taken during the programme and the Master's thesis *with defence*.

§ 8 Types and forms of course

- (1) Students are involved in shaping their teaching and learning processes and have scope to direct their studies themselves. This is, among other things, facilitated by a variety of types and forms of course.
- (2) Courses can be offered in the form of lectures, seminar-style lectures, seminars, tutorials, colloquia, *laboratory practicals/ internships*, projects and field trips, and combinations thereof. More specific details are given in the Standard Curriculum and Examination Schedule.
- (3) Lectures convey fundamental technical knowledge, theory and methodology in a cohesive and systematic form.
- (4) Seminar-style lectures systematically and cohesively convey fundamental technical, theoretical and methodological knowledge and are used to explore theoretical and practical questions.
- (5) In seminars, students and professors work together to analyse and evaluate theoretical and practical issues. This may be done in a variety of forms (information presentations, disquisitions, developing hypotheses, discussions) and in groups.
- (6) Tutorials enable students to acquire fundamental methods and proficiencies.
- (7) In colloquia, students and professors engage in more thorough academic debate on selected issues.
- (8) Field trips facilitate observation and information-gathering and direct contact with on-site practical work.
- (9) Projects are used to develop skills for independent scientific work and for finding practical solutions to holistic problems. Projects are carried out in groups.
- (10) Laboratory practicals / internships are used for the practical application and consolidation of skills acquired during studies.
- (11) Courses may be conducted on campus, online or in hybrid form.
- (12) It is not compulsory to attend the courses. Wherever the type and content of a course means that a student's physical presence is required, this is indicated in the Standard Curriculum and Examination Schedule.

§ 9 Academic advice

Subject-specific and general individual course guidance is offered. This relates in particular to the progression of studies, applying individually for part-time studies, the choice of modules and problems that may lead to significantly exceeding the standard study duration.

§ 10 Individual study plans

- (1) Individual study plans are possible in principle. The point of contact for students wishing to set up an individual study plan is the programme leader / subject advisor. Individual study plans are used to achieve the successful completion of the study programme within or in excess of the standard study duration.
- (2) They are agreed with students who, in particular
 1. are pursuing their course of studies part-time on an individual basis, or
 2. due to a longer-lasting or chronic illness, disability, pregnancy, caring responsibilities or another personal reason, are unable to observe the semester requirements for the modules pursuant to the Standard Curriculum and Examination Schedule,
 3. or who, in spite of fulfilling admission requirements, are lacking previous knowledge in one or more modules.

§§ 11 and 19 and the Framework Regulations for Individual Part-time Studies at Magdeburg-Stendal University of Applied Sciences apply accordingly.

§ 11 Individual part-time studies

Students who are not in a position to undertake full-time studies in the standard study duration may apply to draw up an individual part-time study plan upon enrolment or re-registration. This is covered in greater detail by the Framework Regulations for Individual Part-time Studies at Magdeburg Stendal University of Applied Sciences.

II. Examination-Specific Provisions

§ 12 Board of Examiners

- (1) A board of examiners comprised of members of the department will be set up in order to organise the examinations and carry out the tasks prescribed in these Study and Examination Regulations. In general, it is to be comprised of at least 5 members, 3 of whom are professors, plus one representative of the group of research assistants/adjunct professors, and one student representative. The members of the board of examiners will be elected by the departmental council. The members of the board of examiners elect a chairperson and a deputy chairperson from among their number. These shall be members of the cohort of Professors. The research assistants/adjunct professor and student representatives may each elect one deputy. The student representative may only act in an advisory capacity with regard to examination decisions.
- (2) The board of examiners ensures that examinations are carried out and that the provisions of these Study and Examination Regulations are adhered to. The board of examiners reports regularly to the departmental council about its activities and provides it and the programme leader with suggestions about the further development of the programme. In the process, emphasis should be placed on the achievability of the programme and in particular compliance with the standard study duration.
- (3) The board of examiners makes its decision based on a majority vote. It is not permissible to abstain from voting. In the case of an even split, the chairperson or, when absent, his or her proxy, shall have the casting vote. The board of examiners shall be considered

quorate when the majority of its members, of which at least two must be professors, are present.

- (4) The term of office of the members of the board of examiners is four years, with student incumbency limited to one year. Re-election is possible.
- (5) The essential matters for discussion and the decisions of the board are recorded in the minutes.
- (6) In individual cases, the board of examiners may transfer specifically determined powers revocably to the chairperson. The chairperson prepares and executes the resolutions of the board of examiners, and regularly informs members about his or her activities.
- (7) The members of the board of examiners have the right to participate as observers during examinations. This does not apply to advice on the assessment of examination performance.
- (8) At the beginning of each semester, the board of examiners determines the examination schedule for the oral and written examinations.
- (9) The members of the board of examiners are bound to maintain confidentiality. If they are not public service employees, members must make a pledge of secrecy to the chairperson.

§ 13 Examiners and assessors

- (1) The board of examiners appoints the examiners and assessors. Only members and associates of this or another university who possess the necessary qualifications to teach independently on the examination module in question are authorised to be examiners. Professors and research assistants/adjunct professors, provided that they undertake teaching duties, teaching staff and persons experienced in professional practice and education are authorised and obliged to hold university examinations. People may only be appointed as examiners and/or assessors if they possess at least the qualification that is subject to examination or an equivalent qualification.
- (2) University examinations and examinations/assessments during the programme which must be passed in order to continue the programme, must be evaluated by two examiners. Written final theses must be assessed by two examiners. Oral examinations are to be conducted by several examiners or by one examiner in the presence of one expert assessor. The expert does not have the right to pose questions or the right of appraisal of an examiner.
If, taking into consideration all those authorised to be examiners or assessors pursuant to paragraph 1, the board of examiners determines that because of additional undue burdens and in consideration of their normal professional responsibilities, it would be inappropriate to appoint them, or when two examiners are not available for the examination date in question, it may resolve that only one examiner should mark the written exam. This resolution must be communicated to the students when registering for the examination. This does not apply to written final theses.
- (3) Students may propose examiners for oral examinations and the Master's thesis. Their proposals shall not be binding.
- (4) The examiners must carry out their duties independently.
- (5) The examiners are normally the professors for the module in which the examination is to be taken, provided that they are duly authorised examiners pursuant to para. 1. Should this not be the case, the board of examiners shall specify the examiners and ensure that the students are notified in due time.

- (6) § 12, para. 9 applies accordingly to examiners and assessors.

§ 14 Recognition of qualifications, crediting of knowledge and skills

- (1) Magdeburg-Stendal University of Applied Sciences pursues a recognition and crediting approach that seeks to foster student mobility. Recognition relates to periods of study and academic achievements / examinations from other domestic and foreign universities; crediting takes into account knowledge and skills obtained outside of higher education.
- (2) Upon written application by the student, the board of examiners may decide to recognise prior periods of study, academic achievements and examination results. The decision regarding recognition takes place immediately after presentation of all necessary documents and information, and generally within four weeks.
- (3) For recognition in connection with the commencement of a study programme, the application should be submitted to the board of examiners immediately after the beginning of the programme in order to ensure a timely start to the student's activities. For recognition in order to continue a study programme, the taking of examinations or, above all, after completion of a study semester abroad, it should be made as soon as possible after the necessary documents become available.
- (4) It is the responsibility of the student making the application to provide sufficient information concerning the achievement(s) for which recognition is being sought. The university reserves the right to request original documents and/or a sworn translation in order to verify the achievement.
- (5) Achievements must be recognised provided that they do not differ significantly with regard to the skills and competences acquired in comparison with the corresponding study programme at Magdeburg-Stendal University of Applied Sciences.
In particular, there may be deemed to be a significant difference if the qualification for which recognition is sought does not include a competence required for successful completion of the course of studies and whose absence might put that successful completion at risk. Important criteria for recognition are the requirements and qualification objectives of the subsequent programme of study. Rather than being based on a schematic comparison, a holistic consideration and assessment should be conducted. Conditional recognition is possible.
The burden of proof that there are significant differences in the competences acquired in comparison with the corresponding study programme at Magdeburg-Stendal University of Applied Sciences lies with the board of examiners.
- (6) For the recognition of periods of study and study achievements / examinations attained outside of the area of application of the higher education laws of the German federal states, in addition, the "Convention on the Recognition of Qualifications concerning Higher Education in the European Region" (Lisbon Convention), the equivalence agreements approved by the Standing Conference of the Ministers of Education and Cultural Affairs of the Länder in the Federal Republic of Germany, and the German Rectors' Conference, plus agreements in the context of university partnerships must be taken into account.
- (7) The recognition of an examination may be refused if for this examination an examination agreement already exists at Magdeburg-Stendal University of Applied Sciences or an examination has been definitively failed.
- (8) Recognised achievements for a module receive the relevant number of credits indicated in the Standard Study and Examination Schedule for this module.

- (9) In the case of identical or directly comparable grading systems, the grade will be adopted in accordance with § 22. Grades from other scales will be converted.
For the conversion of grades, a comparison of the statistical grade distributions in accordance with the ECTS guidelines of the European Commission must be undertaken. If this method cannot be applied, the conversion must be undertaken using what is known as the Modified Bavarian Formula.
Recognised grades are included in the calculation of the overall grade pursuant to § 31 of these regulations.
Recognised, ungraded achievements in a module must be evaluated as “successfully completed” (ungraded). This also applies if the recognised achievement is graded, however the corresponding module at Magdeburg-Stendal University of Applied Sciences is ungraded.
- (10) Knowledge and skills acquired outside of higher education may be taken into account for a university degree, if
1. the requirements applicable for access to university have been met and
 2. the knowledge and skills to be taken into account are equivalent to the academic achievements and examinations that they are to replace.
- In total, knowledge and skills acquired outside of higher education may not replace more than 50% of the university course content.
Using the documentation submitted, the board must consider if, and to what extent, the content and level of the qualifications are equivalent to parts of the course of studies and thus if and to what extent they may replace them.
As a general rule there is no conversion of grades when crediting achievements. The modules thus credited will be assessed as being “successfully completed” (ungraded). Paragraphs 2, 3, 4, 5 and 8 apply accordingly
- (11) The type and scope of recognised achievements and credited skills and knowledge for a degree programme must be identified in the grade overview. In addition, qualifications obtained abroad and recognised and credited for a study programme must be identified in the Diploma Supplement

§ 15 Internship, vocational activity, practical study semester in Germany or abroad

The study programme does not include an internship semester.

§ 16 Study semester abroad

- (1) The pursuit of courses at partner universities other than the GJU abroad is not planned but is desirable.
- (2) Before the start of the foreign study programme, a Learning Agreement must be drawn up between the student and the ECTS representative appointed by the board of examiners and the responsible person at the host university concerning the achievements and qualifications recognition of which is sought.

§ 17 Pre-examination assessments

- (1) A pre-examination assessment is a prerequisite for admission to examinations taken during the programme. A module assessment examination during the programme may only be taken where there is proof that a pre-examination assessment has been successfully completed.

- (2) The type and scope of pre-examination assessments are set out in the module descriptions and are announced by the lecturers at the start of the course. Pre-examination assessments must be monitored in the department.
- (3) Pre-examination assessments are graded as either "passed" or "failed".
- (4) Failed pre-examination assessments may be repeated as many times as necessary.

§ 18 Types and forms of assessment

- (1) Types of assessment during the programme are:

- 1st Written examination (WE) (paragraph 3)
- 2nd Oral examination (OE) (paragraph 4)
- 3rd Term paper (TP) (paragraph 5)
- 4th Design project (DP) (paragraph 6)
- 5th Experimental work (EW) (paragraph 7)
- 6th Academic project (APr) (paragraph 8)
- 7th Disquisition (Di) (paragraph 9)
- 8th Practical / Internship report (IR) / Practical paper (PP) (paragraph 10)
- 9th Presentation (Pre) (paragraph 11)
- 10th Group presentation examination (GP) (paragraph 12)
- 11th Project report (PR) (paragraph 13)
- 12th Fact sheet (F) (paragraph 14)
- 13th Submitted assignment (SA) (paragraph 15)
- 14th Seminar contribution (SC) (paragraph 16)
- 15th Performance record (PR) (paragraph 17)
- 16th Open book examination (OBE) (paragraph 18)
- 17th Proof (Beleg, B) (paragraph 19)
- 18th Portfolio (PF) (paragraph 20),
- 19th E-portfolio (EPF) (paragraph 21)
- 20th attendance certificate (AC) (paragraph 22)

Assessments are generally graded in accordance with § 22. Exceptions, where necessary, are noted in the individual paragraphs or in the Standard Study and Examination Schedule. The type and scope of individual module examinations taken during the programme and/or the attendance certificates can be found in the Standard Curriculum and Examination Schedule appended to these regulations.

- (2) Examinations may be taken in person on campus or online. The form of examination must be announced by the lecturer in the first class of the module and is enshrined in the semester examination schedule in the department.
- (3) In a **written examination**, to be completed in a limited time with limited means of assistance and under invigilation, students are required to demonstrate their comprehension of a problem and their ability to find ways of solving it using the standard methodology within the specific field. A written examination must be completed in at least 60 minutes, but no longer than 180 minutes.
- (4) In an **oral examination**, students should be able to demonstrate a coherent knowledge of the subject area being examined and the capacity to understand complex issues relating to this subject.
The oral examination is conducted either as an individual examination or a group examination, whereby up to 3 students may form a group. In general, the length of the examination for each student is 20 minutes. The essential points of the examination and its grading must be recorded in writing. This record must be signed by the examiners and

the assessors. The results of the examination are to be made known to the student directly following the presentation of the oral exam.

- (5) A **term paper** requires an experimental, empirical or theoretical approach to a specific assignment from within the field of study. The assignment should be set in such a way that it can be completed within 1 to 4 weeks. Students are free to propose topics and tasks for their papers. These proposals are not legally binding. In appropriate cases the solutions developed may be presented orally in a form typically used in the professional field in question. Paragraph 23 applies accordingly.

Even if there are several reasons for doing so, the completion time may only be extended by a maximum of 4 weeks.

An extension to the time allowed for completion may be granted:

1. in the event of the student suffering an illness that is confirmed by a doctor with a certificate of incapacity for the duration of the illness, although the maximum extension time allowed may not be exceeded,
2. once, by 4 weeks, in the event of extra burdens on the student demonstrated by the KomPass pursuant to § 19,
3. in individual cases for reasons for which the student is not responsible, for a maximum of 4 weeks,
4. in the case of above-average burdens on the students due to other coursework/examinations, for a maximum of 4 weeks.

Paragraph 23 applies accordingly.

- (6) A **design project** involves addressing a subject-specific or interdisciplinary assignment from a conceptual and constructive perspective, giving special consideration to planning aspects and the exposition and explanation of the solutions developed in a manner suitable to the respective professional field. Paragraph 23 applies accordingly.

- (7) **Experimental work** especially refers to:
- the theoretical preparation of experiments
 - the design and execution of experiments
 - the written presentation of the steps in the experimental procedure and the results of experiments as well as critical appraisal of them.

Paragraph 23 applies accordingly.

- (8) By working on a joint **academic project**, students must demonstrate their capacity to produce scientific work independently as well as to work in a team. Each individual's contribution to a project must be clearly demonstrated.

- (9) A **disquisition** encompasses:
- an independent and thorough written examination of a problem from within the context of the course of studies which takes into account and evaluates relevant literature, as well as
 - presentation of the work and communication of the results in an oral presentation and in the ensuing discussion.

In general, the assignment should be set so that it can be completed within a period of 1 to 2 weeks.

- (10) The objective of the **practical report / internship report / practical paper** is to demonstrate that the student is in a position to conduct independent scientific work on a topic in the major field of study of the relevant internship phase/practical semester/project within a period of 4 weeks.. The students will be supported in the drafting of the practical

report/internship report/practical paper by their *university or company supervisor*. *This assessment will be graded as “successfully completed” or “not successfully completed”.*

- (11) A **presentation** encompasses the treatment of a subject-specific or interdisciplinary assignment from a conceptual and constructive point of view; it will be presented, explained and defended using modern media.
- (12) A **group presentation** encompasses the treatment of a subject-specific or interdisciplinary assignment from a conceptual and constructive point of view; it will be presented, explained and defended using modern media. In working together, students must demonstrate their capacity to produce scientific work independently as well as to work in a team. The group size is limited to 3 students. This assessment will be graded as “successfully completed” or “not successfully completed”.
- (13) A project is concluded with a **project report**. This comprises the scientific analysis or treatment of a topic from the field of *Entrepreneurship and Innovation Management* with the application of methods and theories, concept development, presentation and explanation of the project outcomes and evaluation of and reflection upon the project work. By working on a project and through their project report, students must demonstrate their capacity to produce scientific work independently as well as to work in a team. If the project report is written by several students, the individual section produced by each of them must be clearly identifiable.
- (14) A **fact sheet** is a summary overview of focal points, data and facts based on a theme. These are presented concisely and with an attractive layout including illustrations and tables.
- (15) The tasks for a **submitted assignment** are formulated by the lecturers of the relevant courses. Students generally receive 4 groups of questions that must be answered on a maximum of 2 pages per question. The questions should be set so they can be completed within 4 to 5 weeks. This assessment will be graded as “successfully completed” or “not successfully completed”. Paragraph 23 applies accordingly.
- (16) A **seminar contribution** demonstrates the student’s active participation in a course over and above oral participation through the preparation of a (spoken) contribution, record, position paper, instruction for a practical exercise, poster presentation or similar. This assessment will be graded as “successfully completed” or “not successfully completed”. Paragraph 23 applies accordingly.
- (17) A **performance record** consists of different partial assessments, which must be completed in the form of written or oral tests, written compositions, disquisitions, presentations or minuted practical achievements. The type, scope and weighting of the possible partial assessments can be found in the module descriptions. By no later than the first class, the respective examiner will notify the students of the partial assessments that must be completed.
The performance record should make it possible for students to complete different academic assessments continuously and in different ways within the framework of the courses of a module.
The results of these assessments are summarised by the lecturer to produce one numerical grade and communicated to the examinations office.
- (18) An **open book examination** is a written examination taken with or without supervision. The use of means of assistance is permitted, i.e. to complete the tasks set in the examination, students may use their notes, textbooks, research literature and internet resources. The use of aids may be limited and the students must be provided with a list of permitted means of assistance. It is not permitted to work on this assessment with another person.

- (19) A **proof** requires a theoretical and/or practical treatment of a task from the subject area and must be completed in writing. A proof may also be completed in a group. The time allowed for this task is specified by the examiner and should not exceed 2 - 4 weeks. A proof may be made up of several individual pieces of work. In suitable cases, the developed solutions and findings may be elucidated orally.
- (20) A **portfolio** is a folder of assessments with which students can document, reflect upon and/or present the knowledge and skills acquired generally during one module. *This assessment will be graded as “successfully completed” or “not successfully completed”.*
- (21) An **E-portfolio** is a digital form of portfolio as described in paragraph 20, which illustrates an individual’s reflection upon the skills acquired during their studies and their transfer into the working environment as well as further orientation in the individual course of study. *This assessment will be graded as “successfully completed” or “not successfully completed”.*
- (22) An **attendance certificate (AC)** will provide evidence of regular and active participation in the module classes and proof of the successful completion of the relevant module. An attendance certificate is not graded.
The requirement for the successful completion of a module is the full and unrestricted participation in at least 80% of the classes in the module and the completion of the assessments defined in the respective module description.
An attendance certificate will be drawn up by the lecturer after completion of the module if the performance attained complies with the requirements set out at the start of the module.
§§ 6 paras 1 and 19 apply accordingly. The board of examiners can make decisions in individual exceptional cases.
- (23) If students are overburdened with other coursework/examinations, the length of time given to complete the assessment may be extended only once upon written application by up to one half. An attempt abandoned because of unduly long illness will not be counted towards the permissible number of repetitions. If the assessment is not submitted by the deadline without a reason acknowledged by the board of examiners, it shall be assessed as “insufficient” or “unsuccessfully completed”. § 23 applies accordingly to any repeat attempt.
- (24) The examiners are to determine the task assignment for the examinations. If the examiners cannot come to an agreement, the board of examiners will take over the responsibility.
- (25) Group projects are also a permissible form of assessment. The contribution of each individual student must meet the examination requirements and be clearly discernible and assessable on the basis of sections, pages or other objective criteria. The group size is limited to 3 students.

§ 19 Equality of opportunity, accommodations for disadvantage, statutory protection periods, possible compensations

- (1) If students are able to credibly demonstrate through a doctor’s certificate or other suitable form of evidence that, due to a chronic disease or disability pursuant to § 3 of the law concerning the equality of disabled people (Act on Equality for Persons with Disabilities - BGG) , or a pregnancy, they are unable to fully or partially complete their examination(s) in the prescribed manner or within the prescribed deadline, they must be granted an adequate and appropriate compensation for their disadvantage. To this end, a written application to the board of examiners is required which, in the case of long-term impediments must usually be submitted at the beginning of a semester or immediately after the impediment is first experienced.

- (2) The protective provisions in accordance with the law on the protection of mothers at work, education and studies (Maternity Protection Act) and in accordance with the law on parental benefits and leave (Federal Parental Allowances and Parental Leave Act), parental leave periods as well as, in accordance with the Care Leave Act and in accordance with the Family Care Leave Act, periods for times of actual caring for a close relative must, when applying these Study and Examination Regulations and in particular when calculating deadlines, be taken into account appropriately and their use must be facilitated. The board of examiners must adjudicate based on the facts of the case. During a leave of absence granted on the grounds of family responsibilities, students are free to continue with their studies and examinations. Upon written application to the board of examiners, the repetition of a failed examination during the leave of absence is admissible.
- (3) Accommodations should be made for students with caring duties. Students with caring duties include, in particular, those with children under the age of 12 and students undertaking caregiving for relatives or other close associates. Caring duties may be evidenced with the help of the Pass for the Compensation of Extra Burdens (KomPass) or other suitable evidence. (e.g. birth certificates, adoption certificate or proof of fostering, evidence of caring activity from a medical specialist or the nursing service.)
- (4) For students in accordance with paragraphs 1 to 3, accommodations for disadvantages should be made available whilst retaining the content requirements of assessments. The Pass for Compensation of Extra Burdens (KomPass) and, where necessary, additional documents may be used as proof. This is covered in greater detail by the regulations governing the accommodation of extra burdens on students at Magdeburg Stendal University of Applied Sciences

§ 20 Public access to oral examinations

As long as they themselves are not registered to take the same examination, students who have yet to successfully complete the respective examination may, with the agreement of the examiner, be present at an oral exam as an observer (§ 18, para. 4). This does not extend to the counselling of students or the notification of their examination results. A student may apply to the examiner(s) to exclude observers in accordance with sentence 1 from his or her examination.

§ 21 Registration for examinations during the programme

- (1) A student must be enrolled at Magdeburg-Stendal University of Applied Sciences as a prerequisite for registering for examinations.
- (2) Students are automatically registered for the examinations stipulated in the Standard Curriculum and Examination Schedule for the current programme semester. The possible forms of examination in each module are set out in the current version of the Standard Curriculum and Examination Schedule. Students who do not yet wish to sit an examination must notify the university of their withdrawal via the university's online portal, "Online Campus", no later than one week before the respective examination date. Paragraph 4 applies accordingly.
- (3) Notwithstanding paragraph 2, the students themselves must register for delayed or repeat examinations and examinations in compulsory elective or voluntary elective modules via Onlinecampus. If they wish to withdraw from an examination, this must be done no later than one week before the relevant date via the Online Campus.

- (4) If a student fails to sit the examination without having given notice of withdrawal, the exam shall be deemed to have been taken and failed. In the event of withdrawal, the student is responsible for re-registering for the examination at a later date via Onlinecampus.
- (5) In cases of non-compliance with the registration deadline, admission to the examination is not permitted unless the board of examiners decides otherwise in response to a written application by the student.
- (6) Registration and therefore admission to an examination must be refused if:
1. the admission requirements are not met, or
 2. the student's documents are incomplete, or
 3. the examination has been definitively failed or is deemed to have been definitively failed.

The board of examiners will decide whether or not to admit the student. Pursuant to § 38, refusal of admission shall be communicated in writing.

§ 22 Assessment of examinations and grading policy

- (1) Each examination is evaluated and graded by the respective examiner. In the case of written examinations, the grade must be announced via the online portal of the university no later than four weeks after the examination was taken, taking data protection considerations into account.
- (2) The following grades are to be used for the assessment of examinations:

Numerical grade	Classification	Description of performance
1	very good	an outstanding performance
2	good	a performance which is significantly above-average
3	satisfactory	performance which meets the average requirements
4	sufficient	a performance which, in spite of deficits, is considered to be sufficient
5	insufficient	a performance which, because of substantial deficits, does not meet requirements

For the sake of greater differentiation, individual numerical grades may be raised or lowered by 0.3, with the exception that the numerical grades 0.7, 4.3, 4.7 and 5.3 may not be awarded.

Generally, the German grading system applies to the programme. Modules that are graded in accordance with the Jordanian grading system will be assessed according to sentences 1 and 2 as follows:

In the case of a percentage figure (GJU Modules)	Numerical grade	Classification	Description of performance
100-99 %	1	very good	an outstanding performance
98-94 %	1.3	very good	an outstanding performance
93-87 %	1.7	good	a performance which is significantly above-average
86-83 %	2	good	a performance which is significantly above-average
82-77 %	2.3	good	a performance which is significantly above-average
76-67 %	2.7	satisfactory	performance which meets the average requirements
66-62 %	3	satisfactory	performance which meets the average requirements
61-56 %	3.3	satisfactory	performance which meets the average requirements
55-51 %	3.7	sufficient	a performance which, in spite of deficits, is considered to be sufficient
50 %	4	sufficient	a performance which, in spite of deficits, is considered to be sufficient
< 50 %	5	insufficient	a performance which, because of substantial deficits, does not meet requirements

When examinations are designated for assessment without grading, the assessment result is either "successfully completed" or "not successfully completed". The type of assessment can be found in the appended Standard Curriculum and Examination Schedule.

- (3) An examination is considered to have been passed if it has been awarded a grade of "sufficient". If the examination is assessed by several examiners, the average of their numerical grades shall be used to determine the final numerical grade. In this case, deviating from the regulation stipulated in para. 2, the numerical grade awarded for the examination corresponds to the arithmetic average of the individual numerical grades awarded by the examiners, cut off after the first decimal place. A non-graded exam has been passed successfully if it is awarded the result "successfully completed". If the non-graded exam is assessed by several examiners, it is deemed to have been passed if the majority award the grade of "successfully completed".
- (4) A module examination is considered to have been passed when all required assessments have received a grade of at least "sufficient". If a module examination comprises only one exam, the numerical grade for the module corresponds to the numerical grade awarded for the exam.

If a module examination comprises several assessments, notwithstanding the provision set out in paragraph 2, the numerical grade awarded for the module will correspond to the arithmetic average (if necessary weighted) of the individual numerical grades awarded by the examiners, cut off after the first decimal place.

The weightings assigned to the individual modules or examinations can be ascertained from the appended Standard Curriculum and Examination Schedule or in general derived from the relative share of credits.

A module examination is also deemed to have been passed if all of the necessary un-graded assessments are graded as having been “successfully completed”.

- (5) When arriving at a grade by means of averaging, only the first decimal place after the decimal point will be considered; all other decimals will be ignored without rounding.

Grading structure:

In the case of an average grade (H2 Modules)	In the case of a percentage figure (GJU Modules)	Classification
1.0	99-100 %	very good
1.1	97-98 %	very good
1.2	96 %	very good
1.3	94-95 %	very good
1.4	92-93 %	very good
1.5	91 %	very good
1.6	89-90 %	good
1.7	87-88 %	good
1.8	86 %	good
1.9	84-85 %	good
2.0	82-83 %	good
2.1	81 %	good
2.2	79-80 %	good
2.3	77-78 %	good
2.4	76 %	good
2.5	74-75 %	good
2.6	72-73 %	satisfactory
2.7	71 %	satisfactory
2.8	69-70 %	satisfactory
2.9	67-68 %	satisfactory

In the case of an average grade (H2 Modules)	In the case of a percentage figure (GJU Modules)	Classification
3.0	66 %	satisfactory
3.1	64-65 %	satisfactory
3.2	62-63 %	satisfactory
3.3	61 %	satisfactory
3.4	59-60 %	satisfactory
3.5	57-58 %	satisfactory
3.6	56 %	sufficient
3.7	54-55 %	sufficient
3.8	52-53 %	sufficient
3.9	51 %	sufficient
4.0	50 %	sufficient
5.0	< 50 %	insufficient

§ 23 Repetition of examinations

- (1) Examinations which do not receive a passing grade or are considered to have been failed can be repeated twice. First repetitions of an examination must be taken within 2 semesters of being notified of failing the exam via the online portal of the university. Second repetitions of an examination must be taken on the next available examination date after being notified of failing the first repetition of the exam via the online portal of the university. These deadlines do not apply if the student has been granted an extension for reasons that are beyond their control. *Repeat examinations are offered every semester by the department.* §§ 21 and 22 apply accordingly for the registration and evaluation. If the time limit is not observed, the examination shall be deemed to have been failed. § 31 para. 4 applies accordingly.
- (2) A third repetition of an examination, generally held on the next regular examination date, is admissible in justifiably exceptional cases and as long as there is sufficient prospect of the examination being passed. Upon written application, the board of examiners may determine an earlier date in agreement with the student. A third repetition is only admissible for a maximum of two examinations during the entire duration of the programme of studies.
- As a general rule, it is not possible to withdraw from a third repeat examination that has been approved by the board of examiners. Upon written application by the student, the board of examiners will rule upon individual cases.
- For the third repeat examination for an assessment, the student may suggest the form of examination (written or oral pursuant to 18, § paragraph 3). This proposal shall not be binding. If an oral examination is held, this will take place as a single examination, which may not significantly exceed 45 minutes.

- (3) The third repetition of an examination is to be applied for and justified before the board of examiners in written form within the six-week cut-off period immediately following notification of having failed the second repetition.
- (4) An exceptional case in terms of paragraph 2 is constituted by undue burdens or health limitations which caused the student to fail the second repetition of the examination.
- (5) § 22 applies accordingly for the evaluation of a successfully completed repetition of an examination.
- (6) Unsuccessful attempts at passing an exam from the same or a comparable course of studies at another university within the scope of application of the Basic Law should be counted towards the number of repetitions.
- (7) The repetition of an examination that has already been passed is inadmissible.

§ 24 Free attempt

There shall be no free attempt at any examination.

§ 25 Supplementary examinations

- (1) Students also have the opportunity to take examinations in modules other than those prescribed in the Standard Curriculum and Examination Schedule appended to these regulations, provided that there is sufficient capacity.
- (2) The results of supplementary examinations can be included in academic transcripts or certificates upon application by the student. The results of supplementary examinations are not taken into consideration when calculating average numerical grades and when determining the cumulative numerical grade.

III. Master's Degree

§ 26 Specification of the Master's thesis topic

- (1) The Master's thesis is an independent academic research paper which is to be submitted in writing and defended orally. The Master's thesis should demonstrate that students are capable of working independently and in a scientific manner within a given time frame on a specific subject in their chosen field of studies. The topic and task assignment of the Master's thesis must be suited to the purpose of the examination as well as the time allowed for completion.
The topic for the Master's thesis must be specified with sufficient time for it to be finished *and defended* within the standard study duration.
The Master's thesis may be written in English, or, following consultation with the first examiner, in German. Only upon application to the board of examiners or the course leader and with their approval is it permissible to complete the thesis in another language. An application will not result in any legal entitlement.
- (2) Students should be given the opportunity to make suggestions for the topic and task assignment of the Master's thesis. Whenever possible, a student's suggestion should be accommodated. The suggestion shall not be legally binding.
Upon application, the board of examiners will assist students in finding a topic.
- (3) The topic is set by the first examiner in agreement with the student, generally at the beginning of the 3rd semester. The second examiner is appointed once the topic has been set. During the writing of their theses, students are supervised by their first

examiner. Details of the topic, the examiners and the time allowed for completion must be put on official record.

- (4) The topic of the Master's thesis may be determined by any professor in the department. With the approval of the board of examiners, the same is true for professors who are not members of the department. Pursuant to § 13, paragraph 1, other examiners or assessors may also be chosen. In this case, the second examiner must be a professor in the department. The board of examiners will rule on individual exceptional cases.
- (5) The Master's thesis can be completed in the form of a group project. The contribution of each individual student must meet the examination requirements as per paragraph 1 and be clearly discernible and assessable on the basis of sections, pages or other objective criteria. The group size is limited to 3 students.
- (6) The topic, task assignment and scope of the Master's thesis should be kept within suitable limits so that it can be completed within the set time frame. The completion time for the Master's thesis is 20 weeks.
The completion time, even if there are several reasons for doing so, may only be extended by a maximum of 10 weeks.
An extension to the time allowed for completion may be granted:

1. in the event of the student suffering an illness that is confirmed by a doctor with a certificate of incapacity for the duration of the illness, and for a maximum of 10 weeks,
2. in individual cases in the event of a particular burden on the student as evidenced by the KomPass or possibly by other documents in accordance with § 19, and for a maximum of 10 weeks,
3. on an individual basis and with the written approval of the first examiner for reasons beyond the student's control, for a maximum of 6 weeks.

The written application for an extension to the completion time must be made to the board of examiners by the student by no later than the expiry of the original completion time.

An attempt abandoned because of unduly long illness will not be counted towards the permissible number of repetitions.

Pursuant to sentence 2, the topic can only be returned once and only within the first third of the completion period.

The new topic for the Bachelor's thesis will be issued in a reasonable time frame, generally within three months.

§ 27 Registration and approval to write the Master's thesis, *deadlines*

- (1) Students must register in writing with the board of examiners to write their Master's thesis. The following must be appended to the registration:
 - a topic proposal,
 - the names of the examiners and their confirmation signatures
 - if necessary, an application for permission to write a group thesis
 - where necessary, an application to hold the defence in private if secrecy is required.The board of examiners shall decide whether or not to admit a student to write their Master's thesis.
- (2) Only those persons who are enrolled at Magdeburg Stendal University of Applied Sciences on the Master's Programme in *Entrepreneurship and Innovation Management* and can provide evidence of having acquired at least 60 credits from the module

examinations set out in the *Standard Curriculum and Examination Schedule* will be permitted to register to write a Master's thesis.

§ 28 Submission and appraisal of the Master's thesis

- (1) Upon submission of a Master's thesis, a student must guarantee in writing that their thesis – or their identified section in the case of a group thesis – has been written individually, that no other sources or aids have been used other than those cited, and that the thesis has not previously been assessed on another Master's degree programme as a Master's thesis.
- (2) The Master's thesis must be submitted to the *examination office in the Department of Economics* in a timely manner, with two copies being submitted in printed form and, in addition, for the purposes of archiving and possibly publication, one copy being submitted in digital form saved as a pdf file. The date and time of submission must be put on official record.
A corresponding declaration concerning the archiving and publication of the Master's thesis must be appended. After completion of the review and assessment procedure, the digital version must be immediately consigned to the archive by the first examiner. More detailed provisions are contained in the Statute Concerning the Archiving and Publication of Student Theses at Magdeburg-Stendal University of Applied Sciences.
If the Master's thesis is not submitted in due time without a reason accepted by the board of examiners, it shall be graded as "insufficient". § 30 applies accordingly to any repeat attempt.
- (3) The Master's thesis must be reviewed and graded by two examiners. The outcome of their assessment of the Master's thesis should be available within four weeks from the date on which it is submitted. § 22 applies accordingly.
- (4) Twenty credits will be awarded for successful completion of the Master's thesis *and defence*.
- (5) Three quarters of the module grade will be made up of the mark from the Master's thesis and one quarter from the mark awarded for the defence.

§ 29 Master's thesis defence

- (1) With the defence of the Master's thesis, students should be able to demonstrate that they are capable of defending the results of an independent scientific work in an academic debate within their chosen field of studies.
- (2) Admission to the defence of the Master's thesis is conditional upon passing all module examinations and the Master's thesis being assessed by the examiners with a grade of at least "sufficient".
- (3) The Master's thesis examiners will hold the defence as an individual or group examination. The board of examiners can appoint additional examiners. As a rule, the length of the defence for each student is 45 minutes, but no longer than 60 minutes. § 22 applies accordingly to the assessment of the defence. The defence is generally held in public. Upon application by the student to the examiner(s), the defence may be held in private. § 20 applies accordingly.
- (4) The essential points of the examination and its grading must be recorded in writing. This record must be signed by the examiners and the assessors. The results of the examination are to be made known to the student directly following the presentation of the oral exam.

- (5) The defence is deemed to have been successfully completed if the examiners award it a minimum grade of "sufficient". In the case of a grade of "insufficient" the defence may be repeated in accordance with the provisions of § 30. Furthermore, § 28 paragraphs 4 and 5 apply accordingly.

§ 30 Repetition of the Master's thesis and defence

- (1) The Master's thesis may, if it has been graded "insufficient" or is deemed to have been graded "insufficient", be repeated once, with the new topic being specified within 12 months.
- (2) If a Master's thesis is repeated, it is only permitted to return a topic if no use was made of this possibility the first time. The new topic for the Bachelor's thesis will be issued in a reasonable time frame, generally within three months.
- (3) A second repetition is inadmissible.
- (4) Repetition of a successfully completed Master's thesis is not permitted.
- (5) The Master's thesis defence may be repeated once if it has received a grade of "insufficient" or is deemed to have been graded "insufficient". The repeat attempt must generally be undertaken within 4 weeks.
- (6) A second repetition of the Master's thesis defence is inadmissible.
- (7) Repetition of a successfully completed defence is not permitted.

§ 31 Cumulative Master's examination result

- (1) The Master's examination is considered to have been taken successfully when all compulsory and compulsory elective module examinations and the Master's thesis *and defence* have been awarded a minimum grade of "sufficient"
- (2) Notwithstanding the regulation set out in § 22, paragraph 2, the overall grade achieved for the Master's exam is derived, where applicable, from the weighted average of the grades for the module examinations and the module grade of the Master's thesis and defence. § 22 para. 5 applies accordingly.
The weightings assigned to the individual modules are to be taken from the appended Standard Curriculum and Examination Schedule or derived from the relative share of credits attributable to the respective modules.
- (3) If the average of the overall grade achieved is better than 1.3, then the overall classification

"passed with distinction"

will be awarded.

- (4) A Master's exam is irrevocably failed when a module exam or the Master's thesis *and defence* receive a grade of "insufficient" or are deemed to have been graded "insufficient" and no further repetitions are permitted.
- (5) The German cumulative grade will be provided with a grade distribution scale for the relative classification of the cumulative grade in accordance with the provisions of the relevant applicable ECTS Guidelines 2015.

§ 32 Academic transcripts and certificates

- (1) An academic transcript must be completed without delay, if possible within six weeks of the Master's examination having been passed. The transcript contains the modules and corresponding module grades and bears the date on which the last examination was completed. It is to be signed by the chairperson of the board of examiners and the dean of the department, and to be furnished with the seal of Magdeburg-Stendal University of Applied Sciences.
- (2) Students will receive a "diploma supplement" together with the transcript.
- (3) If the Master's exam has been failed or is deemed to have been failed, then the examination office, in coordination with the board of examiners, will present the student with a written notification which also provides information as to whether and, where applicable, to what extent it is possible to repeat examinations. Notification of an irrevocably failed Master's exam must be furnished with instructions on the right to appeal.
- (4) If students leave the university or change their programme of studies, upon application they will be issued with a certificate showing the examinations taken and grades achieved.

§ 33 Degree certificate

- (1) Together with the transcript, students receive a degree certificate showing the same date as the academic transcript. The degree certificate confers the title of Master upon the holder.
- (2) The degree certificate is to be signed by the Dean of the department and the Rector of Magdeburg-Stendal University of Applied Sciences, and to be furnished with the seal of Magdeburg-Stendal University of Applied Sciences.

IV. Closing provisions

§ 34 Access to examination files, archiving of examinations

- (1) Following completion of each module exam and the Master's thesis with defence and in each case within a cut-off period of three months from notification of the results, students may, upon written application, be granted access to the written exams, corresponding examiners' assessments, and the examination records. Periods of time when classes are out of session shall be disregarded in this connection. This rule applies analogously to the aptitude test.
- (2) Examination papers in accordance with § 18 must be held in the department for one year and may then be disposed of in compliance with data protection regulations. Final theses must also be held in the department for one year. Afterwards, one copy must be consigned to the archive for permanent storage. Study and examination related proofs (performance records, lists of grades, exam records) must be retained in the department for 10 years. Afterwards, or possibly before 10 years have elapsed, these must be consigned to the archive.

§ 35 Non-attendance, withdrawal, cheating, breach of regulations

- (1) An examination taken during the programme is to be assessed as “insufficient” / “not successfully completed” or shall be deemed to have been assessed as “insufficient” / “not successfully completed” if, without a valid reason, the student
 - does not attend on a compulsory examination date
 - withdraws from the examination after it has already begun,
 - does not retake an examination within the established time frame.
- (2) The justifications claimed for any withdrawal or non-attendance must be notified to the board of examiners immediately in writing and credibly substantiated. Otherwise, the assessment must be graded as “insufficient” / “not successfully completed”. In case of illness, a doctor’s certificate must be provided as confirmation of the student’s inability to sit the examination. In cases of doubt, this must be a public medical officer’s certificate. Illness suffered by one of the children for whose care a student is responsible, shall be considered to be the equivalent of an illness suffered by the student, as far as compliance with the deadlines for the first registration for an examination, the repetition of examinations, the reasons for missing examinations and compliance with the time allowed for working on theses are concerned. Unless the board of examiners resolves otherwise, upon recognition of the reasons for non-attendance or withdrawal, the exam must be taken on the next regular examination date.
- (3) If the student attempts to influence the result of an examination by cheating or the use of unauthorised aids, the examination concerned should be assessed as “insufficient” / “not successfully completed” or the examination concerned should be deemed to have been assessed as “insufficient” / “not successfully completed”. Examiners and invigilators are authorised to exclude from further participation in the exam any student who disrupts its orderly conduct. If this is the case, the examination will be assessed as “insufficient” / “not successfully completed”. In serious cases of cheating or disruption, the board of examiners is authorised to exclude the student from any further examinations.
- (4) If a student does not provide sufficient reason for not having respected the submission deadline for an assessment it will be deemed to have been graded as “insufficient” / “not successfully completed”. Paragraph 2 applies accordingly.
- (5) Boards of examiners are entitled to require and take a sworn statement from a student that an assessment has been completed by them independently and without impermissible outside help and taking into account the general principles of good academic practice. In case of infringements, the assessment concerned must be awarded a grade of “insufficient” / “not successfully completed” or it shall be deemed to have been awarded a grade of “insufficient” / “not successfully completed”. In serious cases, the board of examiners may exclude the student from taking further examinations and/or initiate further legal action.

§ 36 Invalidity of examinations

- (1) In the event of a student cheating in an exam, and this becoming known after the degree has been awarded, the board of examiners is authorised to declare an examination to have been failed either partially or in its entirety.
- (2) If the conditions for admission to an examination were not met but without any intentional deception, and this only becomes known after the degree has been awarded, the deficiency is deemed to have been rectified if the examination was completed successfully. In the case of a student gaining admission by committing a deliberate breach, the board of examiners, taking into consideration relevant legal regulations, will decide as to the retraction of unlawful administrative acts.

- (3) Prior to such a decision, the affected student is to be given the opportunity to make a statement on the matter to the board of examiners.
- (4) An incorrect transcript is to be revoked and replaced with a corrected transcript in accordance with § 32. Once a period of five years has elapsed from the date the transcript is issued, a decision pursuant to paragraphs 1 and 2 is thereafter excluded.

§ 37 Decisions, appeal procedure

- (1) All decisions made in accordance with these Study and Examination Regulations and which constitute an administrative act are to be justified in writing and furnished with instructions on appeal in compliance with § 41 of the Administrative Procedures Act ((VwVfG). An appeal can be made to the board of examiners within one month of having received the decision.
- (2) The board of examiners will rule upon the validity of the appeal. In the event that the appeal involves a grade, the appeal will be sent to the examiner or examiners for their review. If the assessment is amended pursuant to the appeal, the board of examiners shall uphold the appeal. Otherwise, the board of examiners only reviews the decision in terms of
 1. whether or not the exam procedures were properly conducted,
 2. whether or not the examiner relied on unfounded facts or circumstances,
 3. whether or not the generally valid principles of grading were applied,
 4. whether or not the examiner was influenced by immaterial considerations.If the board of examiners does not uphold the appeal, it will be forwarded to the Departmental Council, with the exclusion of the student members, for a decision.
- (3) A decision as to the validity of the appeal will be made within a period of one month.

§ 38 University-wide announcements by the board of examiners

Decisions and other measures relating to these Study and Examination Regulations, especially with regard to admission to examinations, refusal of admission, examination and registration dates, examination deadlines and the results, will be made known university-wide in the institution's customary manner. In so doing, data protection regulations will be observed.

§ 39 Transitional regulations

These Study and Examination Regulations apply to all students commencing their studies from the 2026/27 winter semester

§ 40 Entry into force

Following approval by the Rector, these Study and Examination Regulations shall take effect the day after their university-wide announcement in the Magdeburg Stendal University of Applied Sciences Official Notices.

Issued pursuant to the resolutions of the Department of Economics Departmental Council dated 19/11/2025 and the Magdeburg-Stendal University of Applied Sciences Senate dated 17/12/2025.

Magdeburg, 29/01/2026

The Rector